UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,649	38,649 08/27/2001 Helen O'Hara		021058/0257402	3549
	7590 04/11/200 VINTHROP SHAW PI	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 10500			FELTON, AILEEN BAKER	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applio	ation No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/93	8,649	O'HARA ET AL.		
		Exam	ner	Art Unit		
		Aileen	B. Felton	1793		
The MAI Period for Reply	LING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENEI WHICHEVER I: Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONI If NO period for rep Failure to reply with Any reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD IS LONGER, FROM THE IN may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this comply is specified above, the maximum in the set or extended period for reploy the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mo a application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠ This action 3)□ Since this	ive to communication(s) filon is FINAL . Is application is in condition accordance with the pract	2b)∏ This action for allowance exc	is non-final. ept for formal ma	•	ne merits is	
Disposition of Cla	ims					
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8) ☐ Claim(s) Application Paper	60-78 is/are pending in the above claim(s) is/a above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. 60-78 is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restricts fication is objected to by the	are withdrawn from				
10)☐ The drawi Applicant Replacem	ng(s) filed on is/are may not request that any objected to ent drawing sheet(s) including or declaration is objected to	e: a) accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted of accepted	(s) be held in abey quired if the drawir	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	, ,	
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) D Notice of Draftspe	ices Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (osure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Date		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 09/938,649 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 60-78 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over McKenzie (5,076,867).

McKenzie discloses a water-in-oil emulsion that is formed by mixing nitrite, oxidizer salt, and thiourea and subsequently adding this solution to the emulsifier and the fuel (see col. 4, lines 30-68). PIBSA is disclosed as the surfactant. The amounts of each component are indicated in col. 2 and 3. Col. 4, lines 44-50 also indicates that microballoons can be used in addition to the thiourea/nitrite combination.

Alternatively, It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the parameters of the emulsion such as pH, amounts and density to achieve a desired result. It is well-settled that optimizing a result effective variable is well within the expected ability of a person of ordinary skill in the subject art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), In re Aller,

Art Unit: 1793

220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233 (CCPA 1955). Further, it would have been obvious to vary the order of the steps of addition of gasser solution to the emulsion explosive. See *In re Burhans*, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1946) (selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected results) and *In re Gibson*, 39 F.2d 975, 5 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1930) (Selection of any order of mixing ingredients is prima facie obvious). See MPEP 2144.04(IV)(C).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' arguments that McKenzie is not the same type of emulsion as that of the instant invention are unpersuasive. First, McKenzie dies disclose the mixing steps and also uses PIBSA, further note that the amounts and use of microballoons is disclosed. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Also, note that the claim scope is "comprising" and allows for additional features.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1793

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aileen B. Felton whose telephone number is 571.272.6875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00, except alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571.272.1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,649

Art Unit: 1793

//Aileen Felton// Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793 Page 5